1320 Research Park Drive Manhattan, KS 66502 785-564-6700 www. agriculture.ks.gov



900 SW Jackson, Room 456 Topeka, KS 66612 785-296-3556

Mike Beam, Secretary

Laura Kelly, Governor

January 25, 2024

REDACTED FOR PRIVACY

RE: Proposed Plan to Address Impairment at

Quivira National Wildlife Refuge

Water Right File No(s):

Dear Sir or Madam:

This letter is a follow up to my letters dated April 10, 2023, August 21, 2023, and October 18, 2023, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) request to secure water pertaining to its Water Right, File No. 7571 (Water Right), for Quivira National Wildlife Refuge (Refuge), located in northeastern Stafford County. As we make progress with a workgroup to develop alternatives to direct administration, I believe it is important for owners and operators of the affected water rights to know what to expect and have the opportunity to plan accordingly.

As mentioned in my previous letter, although the USFWS paused its request for administrative action in 2024 on the impairment of its water right, USFWS also submitted a contingent request to secure water beginning January 1, 2025, if alternatives are not developed and achieved in a timely manner. The purpose of this letter is to provide advanced notice of our proposed plan to administer water rights beginning January 1, 2025, pursuant to K.S.A. 82a-706b, unless adequate progress on alternative actions to resolve the impairment is made. THIS IS NOT AN ORDER AND NO ACTION IS REQUIRED AT THIS TIME. This notice is part of our attempt to make sure everyone in the area of administration fully understands the proposed water right administration and how it would impact them individually if implemented.

Attached to this letter is a detailed description of the administrative plan, with a map of the Rattlesnake Streamflow Response Regions and the Quivira Impairment Administration Tiers. Also included is a table of preliminary allocations that have been determined for the water right(s) you own or control with a reference guide to explain the information in the allocation tables more fully. The enclosed allocation summaries are subject to change.

Please check our web site often for additional information. Updates will continue to be posted at <u>agriculture.ks.gov/quivira</u>.

Sincerely.

Earl D. Lewis Jr., P.E.

Chief Engineer

Division of Water Resources

Enclosures: KDA-DWR Proposed Plan to Address the Impairment of Water Right File No. 7,571

Quivira Impairment Administration Tier Map

Summary of Water Right Allocations


Guide to the Summary of Water Right Allocations

cc: Big Bend GMD No. 5

Mike Beam, Secretary of Agriculture

Jeff Lanterman, KDA-DWR Stafford Field Office Water Commissioner

## **Quivira National Wildlife Refuge Impairment Administration Tiers**



## Guide to Summary of Preliminary Allocations

Column	Description
Column [A]	Water Right File Number.
Column [B]	Identifying the person or business listed at the top as the owner, water use
	correspondent, or both (O, W, O/W).
Column [C]	Authorized quantity of water right.
Column [D]	The portion of pumping that comes from intercepted streamflow.
Column [E]	Order in priority among administered junior water rights.
Column [F]	The total administered allocation divided by the authorized quantity.
Column [G]	Administration tier that water right is within.
Column [H]	Duration of administration allocation. This is one year within tier one, and five
	years within tiers two and three.
Column [I]	The amount of water allocated for the administration period, in acre-feet.
Column [J]	The amount of water allocated for the administration period, in millions of
	gallons.
Column [K]	The amount of water allocated expressed as inches of irrigation on authorized
	acres.

1320 Research Park Drive Manhattan, KS 66502 785-564-6700 www. agriculture.ks.gov



900 SW Jackson, Room 456 Topeka, KS 66612 785-296-3556

Mike Beam, Secretary

Laura Kelly, Governor

## KDA-DWR Proposed Plan to Address Impairment at Quivira National Wildlife Refuge

In a 2016 Final Impairment Report, the Chief Engineer of the Kansas Department of Agriculture, Division of Water Resources found that the US Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) water right at Quivira National Wildlife Refuge, Water Right File No, 7,571, which depends on surface water flows in Rattlesnake Creek, was routinely impaired by upstream junior groundwater pumping that was depleting streamflow in Rattlesnake Creek.

In response to a request to secure water by the US Fish and Wildlife Service, filed during 2023, for its Water Right, File No. 7,571, a supplement to the report made minor modifications to the evaluations that had served as the basis for the report and extended the timeframe for which data was included in the report to 2020. The 2023 supplement to the 2016 Final Impairment Report uses results of hydrologic computer modeling and gaged streamflow records and indicates that the impairment confirmed in 2016 is continuing and that groundwater pumping by water rights junior to and upstream from the Quivira National Wildlife Refuge continues to deplete flows in Rattlesnake Creek by 400-600 acre-feet per year, as measured at the USGS Zenith gage.

Kansas law provides, in K.S.A. 82a-706b, "It shall be unlawful for any person to prevent, by diversion or otherwise, any waters of this state from moving to a person having a prior right to use the same . . . Upon making a determination of an unlawful diversion, the chief engineer or the chief engineer's authorized agents, shall, as may be necessary to secure water to the person having the prior right to its use . . . Direct that the headgates, valves or other controlling works of any ditch, canal, conduit, pipe, well or structure be opened, closed, adjusted or regulated..."

Accordingly, the Chief Engineer is required by law to curtail water use by the junior water rights that are impairing the Service's right. This document is

Evaporation from LSM considered as water used

8000

7000

8000

7000

8000

8000

7000

8000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7000

7

intended to provide information as to planned water rights administration. It is not an order of the Chief Engineer.

Administration of water rights found to be impairing Water Right File No. 7,571 would be undertaken pursuant to the Kansas Water Appropriation Act, K.S.A. 82a-701 et seq. and would be implemented by orders of the Chief Engineer. Each affected junior water right holder would receive an individual order setting out the quantity of water they would be allowed to divert under their water right(s) as part of this administration plan.

As can be seen from the graphic above, which illustrates the magnitude of the impairment in acre-feet between the years 1973 and 2020, the impairment is an issue that has existed for a number of years. Full resolution of the impairment would likewise take multiple years.


The administration plan would be implemented in stages, with the goal of fully resolving the impairment by 2035. The administration plan would be in effect for an initial five-year period of 2025 – 2029 and within that time period would implement water quantity allocations that would halt additional stream depletions and would constitute a first step

toward fully satisfying Water Right File No. 7,571. This time period would also allow for addition non-administrative, voluntary actions to be taken to limit addition reductions in the subsequent five-year period.

Authorized quantities of the water rights to be administered would be reduced based upon an administered water right's priority date and its impact to Water Right File No. 7,571. These factors would be weighed equally to determine reduced allocations for each water right. Allocations would be based on authorized quantities of water rights, regardless of past usage.

The impact of each water right on Water Right File No. 7,571 would be determined based on each water right's geographic location within the Rattlesnake Creek Streamflow Response Region and three tiers developed using the Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5 hydrologic groundwater model. Administration of water rights based on the three-tier system is outlined below:

Tier 1: Area outlined in purple on the map, where total groundwater pumping is modeled to have 30% or greater impact to streamflow in Rattlesnake Creek within one calendar year of pumping.



**Tier 2**: Area outlined in blue on the map, where total groundwater pumping is modeled to have 30% or greater impact to streamflow in Rattlesnake Creek within one to five calendar years of pumping.

**Tier 3**: Area outlined in red on the map, where total groundwater pumping is modeled to have less than 30% impact to streamflow in Rattlesnake Creek within the next one to five years of pumping, but greater than 10% impact over the longer term.

It is the Chief Engineer's intention to allow multi-year quantity allocations in Tiers 2 and 3. All existing multi-year flex accounts for water rights to be administered would be vacated, under this proposed plan.

The Chief Engineer also intends to allow allocations to be transferred within tiers and from a higher-impact tier to a lower-impact tier. Flexibilities that would be available depending on tier location are set forth in the table below:

	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	
Allocation	1 Year	5 Year	5 Year	
MYFA eligible	No	No	Yes	
Safe Deposit Withdrawals	No	No	Yes	
			Water Right Quantity +	
			MYFA or SDA	
Annual Quantity Potential	Allocation	Water Right Quantity	(Within 5-year allocation)	
	Within Tiers 1, 2, or 3;	Within Tiers 2 or 3		
	from Tier 1 to Tier 2; and	and from Tier 2 to		
Same User Transfer	from Tier 2 to Tier 3	Tier 3	Within Tier 3	
	Within Tiers 1, 2, or 3;	Within Tiers 2 or 3		
Transfer between Users	from Tier 1 to Tier 2; and	and from Tier 2 to		
through CKWB	from Tier 2 to Tier 3	Tier 3	Within Tier 3	

In all tiers, water rights permanently retired by individual water right owners can be treated as allocation transfers, and the allocations originally assigned to those water rights would be credited to the water right owner who permanently retired the right. Water right owners would be eligible to apply credited allocations to other water rights they own with a point of diversion in an area of lower impact. Allocations originally assigned to water rights that are permanently retired water rights by public entities, such as Big Bend GMD No. 5, would be credited toward the entire Rattlesnake Creek Streamflow Response Region through adjustments to allocations, which may occur annually.

The following table sets out the number of water rights to be administered within each tier, divided into categories based on the beneficial use of those water rights:

Water Rights	FPR	IND	IRR	MUN	REC	STK	THX	Total
Tier 1	-	2	99	2	5	2	_	110
Tier 2	_	3	111	-	2	4	2	122
Tier 3	1	5	1,119	8	13	15	_	1,161
Total	1	10	1,329	10	20	21	2	1,393

REDACTED FOR PRIVACY

[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]	[1]	[٦]	[K]
								Administration	on Allocation	
					Allocation as %					Allocation, Inches
<b>Water Right</b>	Corr.	<b>Authorized</b>	Stream		of Authorized	Administration	Allocation		Millions of	on Authorized
File No.	Type	Quantity, AF	Response % P	riority Rank	Quantity	Tier	Duration	Acre-Feet	Gallons	Acres
REDACTED	0	195.00	67.04%	194	51.05%	Tier 2	5 Years	497.70	162.18	7.47

Correspondent Type: owner(O) or water use correspondent (W)